ndence
ditor's Choice – Management of Chronic Venous Disease: Clinical Practice Guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS)
ā tara tara tara tara tara tara tara tar
scular Department, American Hospital of Paris Neuilly/seine, Paris, France
entro Vena, Florence, Italy
ein Surgery, Florence, Italy
ibano
ent of Vascular Surgery, Val Ebron Hospital, Barcelona, Spain
achi
arie Thérèse Saint Joseph, Paris, France
ent of Surgery, Val Ebron Hospital, Barcelona, Spain
za
xis, Wunstorf, Germany
ent of Vascular Surgery, General Hospital Vic, Barcelona, Spain
lo Fausto Vasculab Foundation ONLUS, Naples, Italy
boni@icloud.com
Diseases Center, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
onding author. Vascular Diseases Center, University of Ferrara, Italy.
Regarding the management of chronic venous disease published in EJEVS, <sup>1</sup> the guidelines state "The most serious limiting concerns in both studies were how 'failure' by recurrence was defined: it is unclear if the presence of varicose veins or the presence of refluxing veins during the DUS evaluation or both were considered to define the failure of the treatment".
This statement is inaccurate and needs to be updated. In the quoted randomized controlled trials (RCTs), <sup>2,3</sup> clinically visible varicose veins were evaluated after 5 and 10 years follow up, independently of the flow direction, according to

The comparison between stripping and conservative hemodynamic correction of venous insufficiency (CHIVA)3 pointed out different reflux networks. Whenever the great saphenous vein (GSV) was spared, newly formed leaking po

ralidated evaluation score in RCTs.4

re found along the GSV. After GSV ablation with or without incompetent perforating veins, Doppler detectable leaking points were found, a consequence of the GSV lacking drainage. This observation justifies the overlapping outcome recurrences at 3 years, with a progressive better outcome in the CHIVA group in the following years.

Two important references are not cited in this review: a RCT5 and a Cochrane review.6

## rences

ns, A.H. Davies, N. Bækgaard, R. Broholm, A. Cavezzi, S. Chastanet, et al., European Society for Vascular Surgery, Editor's Choice – Management of chronic venous disease: clinical practice guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS), Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 49 (6), 2015, 678–737.

rés, J. Juan, R. Tellez, A. Mata, C. Moreno, F.X. Quer, et al., Varicose vein surgery: stripping versus the CHIVA method: a randomized controlled trial, Ann Surg 251, 2010, 624e31.

ndina, C. Mari, M. De Palma, M.G. Marcellino, C. Cisno, A. Legnaro, et al., Varicose vein stripping vs haemodynamic correction (CHIVA): a long term randomised trial. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 35, 2008, 230–237.

bbs, Surgery and sclerotherapy in the treatment varicose veins: a 6-year random trial, Arch Surg 109, 1974, 793-796.

1-Ortega, E. Barjau-Urrea, R. Vila-Coll, H. Ballon-Carazas and M.A. Cairols-Castellote, Estudio comparativo de dos tecnicas quirurgicas en el tratamiento de las varices de las extremidades inferiores: resultados tras cinco anos de guimiento, *Angiología* 58, 2006, 459–468.

nunt-Montoya, J.M. Escribano, J. Dilme and M.J. Martinez-Zapata, CHIVA method for the treatment of chronic venous insufficiency, Cochrane Database Syst Rev 7, 2013;3, CD009648.

## es and Answers

The title has been changed to the style for correspondence. Please check.

It's OK

Please provide first name initial for 'Frenceschi'.

C.

Please check that the affiliations have been presented correctly.

OK

Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.

OK

four article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact @elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.

Regular Issue